O.U. 5 W.P. ADDENDUM RESPONSE TO CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

02/11/92

OEPA/DOE-FO 1 LETTER OU5



State of Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Southwest District Office

40 South Main Street Dayton, Ohio 45402-2086 (513) 285-6357 FAX (513) 285-6249

2871 George V. Voinovich Governor

February 11, 1992

RE: O.U. 5 W.P. ADDENDUM RESPONSE TO CONDITIONAL APPROVAL

Mr. Jack R. Craig Project Manager U.S. DOE FEMP P. O. Box 398705 Cincinnati, Ohio 45239

Dear Mr. Craig:

On November 14, 1991, Ohio EPA conditionally approved the O.U. 5 Work Plan Addendum locating additional wells. DOE's response to the comments were acceptable with the exception of the comments listed below.

1. Comment #6

> Ohio EPA has concerns regarding the use of regional aguifer parameters for a site specific modeling requirement and thus disagree with DOE's response to Comment #6.

2. Comment #10

As stated above, Ohio EPA has reviewed the appropriate sections of the ground water report (i.e., Sections 13 and 18 through 22) and continue to have concerns regarding the use of regional aquifer parameters in a site-specific model.

Comment #15

Ohio EPA is concerned with the use of a single retardation factor of 12 for the complex hydrogeology at the FEMP site.

In order to resolve these issues, I would propose that we have a technical meeting with both CERCLA and RCRA staff involved. of the concerns raised in these comments are similar to those raised in Mr. Phillp Harris' RCRA letter dated February 4, 1992. Such an approach may assist the RCRA/CERCLA integration at FEMP.

If you have any questions please contact me.

Sincerely,

Graham E. Mitchell

il Comite

Project Manager

cc: Section Manager, DERR T&PSS Phil Harris, OEPA Rich Bendula, OEPA

Jim Saric, U.S. EPA

Wally Quaider, U.S. DOE FEMP

Lisa August, GeoTrans Ed Schuessler, PRC

(fernaint) action response to doe-719-92

Date Rec'd_FEB 1 8 1992